

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/993,546		Applicant(s) Nasahiro	
	Examiner Tamra L. Dicus		Art Unit 1774	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tamra L. Dicus.

(3) Cynthia Kelly

(2) Red Snider
5/21/03

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: stickers

Claim(s) discussed: All 1-6

Identification of prior art discussed: Tada

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: withdrawal rejection

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Exmr agreed to drop 103 rejection ~~case~~ because
App explained reference is lacking
hair-like layers & paper can not act as
hair-like layers.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Cynthia Kelly
Tamra Dicus
Examiner's signature, if required